

# THE $q$ -TANGENT AND $q$ -SECANT NUMBERS VIA CONTINUED FRACTIONS

HEESUNG SHIN AND JIANG ZENG

**ABSTRACT.** It is well known that the  $(-1)$ -evaluation of the enumerator polynomials of permutations (resp. derangements) by the number of excedances gives rise to tangent numbers (resp. secant numbers). Recently, two distinct  $q$ -analogues of the latter result have been discovered by Foata and Han, and Josuat-Vergès, respectively. In this paper, we will prove some general continued fractions expansions formulae, which permits us to give a unified treatment of Josuat-Vergès' two formulae and also to derive a new  $q$ -analogue of the aforementioned formulae. Our approach is based on a  $(p, q)$ -analogue of tangent and secant numbers via continued fractions and also the generating function of permutations with respect to the quintuple statistic consisting of fixed point number, weak excedance number, crossing number, nesting number and inversion number. We also give a combinatorial proof of Josuat-Vergès' formulae by using a new linear model of derangements.

## CONTENTS

|                                                        |    |
|--------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1. Introduction                                        | 2  |
| 2. A $(p, q)$ -analogue of tangent and secant numbers  | 4  |
| 3. Two equidistributed quintuple statistics            | 8  |
| 4. Counting permutations by the quintuple statistics   | 11 |
| 5. The analytic proof of Theorems 2 and 3              | 13 |
| 6. The combinatorial proof of Theorem 2                | 15 |
| 6.1. Involution $\phi$ on $\mathfrak{S}_n$             | 16 |
| 6.2. Involution $\psi$ on $\mathfrak{D}_n^*$           | 16 |
| 7. A parity-independent formula for $q$ -Euler numbers | 17 |
| Acknowledgement                                        | 18 |
| References                                             | 18 |

---

*Date:* April 23, 2010.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05A05, 05A15, 33C45; Secondary 05A10, 05A18, 34B24.

*Key words and phrases.*  $(p, q)$ -Euler numbers,  $q$ -Eulerian polynomials, alternating permutations, Dyck path diagrams, Laguerre history, Françon-Viennot bijection, Foata-Zeilberger bijection.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The *tangent numbers*  $E_{2n+1}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) and *secant numbers*  $E_{2n}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) are the coefficients in the Taylor expansions of the tangent and secant:

$$\tan t = t + 2\frac{t^3}{3!} + 16\frac{t^5}{5!} + 272\frac{t^7}{7!} + \cdots + E_{2n+1}\frac{t^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} + \cdots, \quad (1)$$

$$\sec t = 1 + \frac{t^2}{2!} + 5\frac{t^4}{4!} + 61\frac{t^6}{6!} + 1385\frac{t^8}{8!} + \cdots + E_{2n}\frac{t^{2n}}{(2n)!} + \cdots. \quad (2)$$

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  be the set of permutations of  $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . A permutation  $\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  is *alternating* (resp. *falling alternating*) permutation if  $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2, \sigma_2 > \sigma_3, \sigma_3 < \sigma_4$ , etc. (resp.  $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2, \sigma_2 < \sigma_3, \sigma_3 > \sigma_4$ , etc.). As proved by André [And79] in 1879, the number of alternating permutations in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  is  $E_n$ . Furthermore, an integer  $i \in [n]$  is called a *fixed point* (resp. *weak excedance*, *excedance*) of  $\sigma$  if  $\sigma_i = i$  (resp.  $\sigma_i \geq i$ ,  $\sigma_i > i$ ). Denote the number of fixed points (resp. weak excedances, excedances) of  $\sigma$  by  $\text{fix } \sigma$  (resp.  $\text{wex } \sigma$ ,  $\text{exc } \sigma$ ). The joint distribution of  $(\text{exc}, \text{fix})$  was first computed by Foata and Schützenberger [FS70], who proved the following formula (see also [KZ04] for another proof):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^n}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\text{exc } \sigma} y^{\text{fix } \sigma} &= 1 + yt + (x + y^2)\frac{t^2}{2!} + (x^2 + (3y + 1)x + y^3)\frac{t^3}{3!} + \cdots \\ &= \frac{(1 - x)\exp(yt)}{\exp(xt) - x\exp(t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Let  $\mathfrak{D}_n$  be the set of *derangements* on  $[n]$ , i.e., permutations without fixed point. From (1), (2) and (3) we derive readily the following combinatorial interpretations of two identities due to Euler and Roselle (see [Eu55, Ros68]): for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1)^{\text{exc } \sigma} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} E_n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_n} (-1)^{\text{exc } \sigma} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} E_n & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The *major index* and *inversion number* of  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  are defined, respectively, by

$$\text{maj } \sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i\chi(\sigma_i > \sigma_{i+1}), \quad \text{inv } \sigma = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \chi(\sigma_i > \sigma_j),$$

where  $\chi(A) = 1$  if  $A$  is true and 0 otherwise. Let  $\mathfrak{A}_n^*$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ ) be the set of alternating (resp. *falling alternating*) permutations on  $[n]$ . Foata and Han [FH10] showed that one can easily derive a  $q$ -analogue of (4) and (5) from the generating function for the triple statistic  $(\text{exc}, \text{fix}, \text{maj})$  due to Sherashian and Wachs [SW07a].

**Theorem 1** (Foata-Han). *For  $n \geq 1$ , we have*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1)^{\text{exc } \sigma} q^{\text{maj } \sigma} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_n^*} q^{\text{inv } \sigma} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_n} (-1/q)^{\text{exc } \sigma} q^{\text{maj } \sigma} = \begin{cases} (-1/q)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_n^*} q^{\text{inv } \sigma} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

As noted in [HRZ99, Che08],  $q$ -analogues of the tangent and secant numbers arise also from the following continued fraction expansions [Fla80]:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{2n+1} t^{2n+1} = t + 2t^3 + 16t^5 + 272t^7 + \cdots = \frac{t}{1 - \frac{1 \cdot 2t^2}{1 - \frac{2 \cdot 3t^2}{1 - \frac{3 \cdot 4t^2}{\ddots}}}}, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{2n} t^{2n} = 1 + t^2 + 5t^4 + 61t^6 + \cdots = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1^2 t^2}{1 - \frac{2^2 t^2}{1 - \frac{3^2 t^2}{\ddots}}}}. \quad (9)$$

The *crossing* number and *nesting* number of  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cros}(\sigma) &= \#\{(i, j) : i < j \leq \sigma_i < \sigma_j \text{ or } \sigma_i < \sigma_j < i < j\}, \\ \text{nest}(\sigma) &= \#\{(i, j) : i < j \leq \sigma_j < \sigma_i \text{ or } \sigma_j < \sigma_i < i < j\}. \end{aligned}$$

The number of occurrences of generalized patterns 31-2, 2-31, and 2-13 in  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (31-2) \sigma &= \#\{(i, j) : i + 1 < j \text{ and } \sigma_i > \sigma_j > \sigma_{i+1}\}, \\ (2-31) \sigma &= \#\{(i, j) : j < i - 1 \text{ and } \sigma_{i-1} > \sigma_j > \sigma_i\}, \\ (2-13) \sigma &= \#\{(i, j) : j < i - 1 \text{ and } \sigma_{i-1} < \sigma_k < \sigma_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Inspired by the recent cros-analogue of Eulerian polynomials [Wil05, Cor05] and (31-2)-analogue of tangent and secant numbers [HRZ99, Che08], Josuat-Vergès [JV10] proved another  $q$ -analogue of (4) and (5).

**Theorem 2** (Josuat-Vergès). *For  $n \geq 1$ , we have*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1)^{\text{wex } \sigma} q^{\text{cros } \sigma} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_n} q^{(31-2) \sigma} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_n} (-1/q)^{\text{exc } \sigma} q^{\text{cros } \sigma} = \begin{cases} (-1/q)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_n} q^{(31-2) \sigma} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

**Remark.** Since  $\text{exc}(\sigma) = n - \text{wex}(\sigma^{-1})$  for  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , Eq. (10) reduces to (4) when  $q = 1$ .

Since (10) and (11) were proved by expanding the generating functions into continued fractions and then identifying the continued fractions in [JV10], our first aim is then to seek for a unified proof of Josuat-Vergès' theorem from a continued fraction formula for the generating function of permutations with respect to the triple statistic (wex, fix, cros), which should play the role of the Sherashian-Wachs formula in Foata-Han's proof. Actually we shall prove some more general results (see Theorems 4, 8 and 9), which permit us to obtain a new  $q$ -analogue of (4) and (5).

**Theorem 3.** *For  $n \geq 1$ , we have*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1/q)^{\text{exc } \sigma} q^{\text{inv } \sigma} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_n} q^{(31-2)\sigma + 2(2-13)\sigma} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_n} (-1)^{\text{exc } \sigma} q^{\text{inv } \sigma} = \begin{cases} (-q)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_n} q^{(31-2)\sigma + 2(2-31)\sigma} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Apart from the aforementioned analytic proof, Foata and Han [FH10] gave also a combinatorial proof of Theorem 1 making use of a linear model of derangements, called *desarrangements*. Similarly, we will provide a combinatorial proof of Theorem 2 using a new linear model of derangements, i.e., called *coderangements*, which are permutations such that every left-to-right maximum is a descent, see Definition 7.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define a  $(p, q)$ -analogue of Tangent and secant numbers as a refined counting of alternating permutations and show that their generating functions have nice continued fraction expansions. In Sections 3, by applying a bijection similar to that of Clarke-Steingrímsson-Zeng [CSZ97], we obtain another quintuple statistic equidistributed with (wex, fix, cros, nest, inv), which results in another combinatorial interpretation of Theorem 2 (see (45) and (46)), that involves *coderangements* (see Definition 7). In Section 4 we will prove a continued fraction expansion for the generating function of permutations with respect to the quadruple statistic (wex, fix, cros, nest, inv). Two special cases of this formula will play the role of two  $q$ -analogues of Roselle's formula. We then derive an analytic proof of Theorems 2 and 3 in Section 5. In Section 6, we will construct two killing involutions using the latter interpretations to prove Theorems 2 as well as a combinatorial proof for (13). Finally, we give a parity independent formula for the  $q$ -Euler numbers  $E_n(q)$  in Section 7.

## 2. A $(p, q)$ -ANALOGUE OF TANGENT AND SECANT NUMBERS

Since the alternating permutations in  $\mathfrak{A}_n^*$  and the falling alternating permutations in  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  are obviously isomorphic by  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n \mapsto (n+1-\sigma_1)(n+1-\sigma_2) \cdots (n+1-\sigma_n)$ , we define the  $(p, q)$ -analogue of tangent and secant numbers by

$$E_{2n+1}(p, q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_{2n+1}} p^{(2-13)\sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma}, \quad (14)$$

and

$$E_{2n}(p, q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_{2n}} p^{(2-31)\sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma}. \quad (15)$$

It turns out that the generating functions of  $E_n(p, q)$  give rise to remarkable  $(p, q)$ -analogue of the two continued fractions (8) and (9).

We first recall some well-known facts about the combinatorial theory of continued fractions. A *Motzkin path* of length  $n$  is a sequence of lattice points  $s_i = (i, y_i)$  ( $i = 0, \dots, n$ ) in the plane  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  such that

- $y_i \geq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $y_0 = y_n = 0$ .
- $y_i - y_{i-1} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ .

For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , if  $y_i - y_{i-1} = -1, 0$  and  $1$  we shall say that the *step*  $(s_{i-1}, s_i)$  is of type  $\searrow$ ,  $\rightarrow$ , and  $\nearrow$ , respectively, and call  $y_{i-1}$  the *height* of the step  $(s_{i-1}, s_i)$ . Now, weight each step  $(s_{i-1}, s_i)$  at height  $h$  by  $w(s_{i-1}, s_i) = a_h$  (resp.  $b_h, c_h$ ) if the step  $(s_{i-1}, s_i)$  is  $\nearrow$  (resp.  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\searrow$ ) and define the weight of the Motzkin path  $\gamma = s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n$  by  $w(\gamma) = \prod_{i=1}^n w(s_{i-1}, s_i)$ . A *Dyck path* is a Motzkin path without step of type  $\rightarrow$ . So the length of a Dyck path must be even. Let  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}_{2n}$ ) denote the set of Motzkin (resp. Dyck) paths of length  $n$  (resp.  $2n$ ). Then, it is well known (see [Fla80]) that

$$1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{M}_n} w(\gamma) t^n = \frac{1}{1 - b_0 t - \frac{a_0 c_1 t^2}{1 - b_1 t - \frac{a_1 c_2 t^2}{\ddots}}}, \quad (16)$$

and

$$1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{D}_{2n}} w(\gamma) t^{2n} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{a_0 c_1 t^2}{1 - \frac{a_1 c_2 t^2}{1 - \frac{a_2 c_3 t^2}{\ddots}}}}. \quad (17)$$

**Theorem 4.** *The generating functions for  $E_{2n+1}(p, q)$  and  $E_{2n}(p, q)$  have the following continued fraction expansions:*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{2n+1}(p, q) t^{2n+1} &= t + (p + q) t^3 \\ &\quad + (p^4 + 3qp^3 + 4p^2q^2 + 3q^3p + q^4 + p^2 + 2qp + q^2) t^5 + \dots \\ &= \frac{t}{1 - \frac{[1]_{p,q}[2]_{p,q}t^2}{1 - \frac{[2]_{p,q}[3]_{p,q}t^2}{1 - \frac{[3]_{p,q}[4]_{p,q}t^2}{\ddots}}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{2n}(p, q) t^{2n} &= 1 + t^2 + (p^2 + 2qp + q^2 + 1) t^4 + \cdots \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{[1]_{p,q}^2 t^2}{1 - \frac{[2]_{p,q}^2 t^2}{1 - \frac{[3]_{p,q}^2 t^2}{\ddots}}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where  $[n]_{p,q} = (p^n - q^n)/(p - q)$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* A Dyck path diagramme of length  $2n$  is a pair  $(\gamma; \xi)$ , where  $\gamma$  is a Dyck path of length  $2n$  and  $\xi = \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{2n}$  is a sequence such that  $\xi_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, h_k\}$  if the step  $(s_{k-1}, s_k)$  is at height  $h_k$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_{2n}$  be the set of Dyck path diagrammes of length  $2n$ . If  $(\gamma; \xi) \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}$ , for each step  $(s_{k-1}, s_k)$  at height  $h_k$  and  $\xi_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq 2n$ ), we associate the valuation

$$v((s_{k-1}, s_k), \xi_k) = p^{h_k - \xi_k} q^{\xi_k},$$

and define the valuation of the Dyck path diagramme  $(\gamma; \xi)$  by  $\prod_{k=1}^{2n} v((s_{k-1}, s_k), \xi_k)$ . Then (18) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_{2n+1}} p^{(2-13)\sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma} = \sum_{(\gamma; \xi) \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}} \prod_{k=1}^{2n} v((s_{k-1}, s_k), \xi_k) \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (20)$$

Similarly, we define the set

$$\mathcal{P}_{2n}^* = \{(\gamma; \xi) \in \mathcal{P}_{2n} : (s_{k-1}, s_k) = \nearrow \text{ or } \xi_k < h_k \text{ for all } k = 1, \dots, 2n\}.$$

If  $(\gamma; \xi) \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}^*$ , for each step  $(s_{k-1}, s_k)$  at height  $h_k$  and  $\xi_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq 2n$ ), we associate the valuation

$$v((s_{k-1}, s_k), \xi_k) = \begin{cases} q^{\xi_k} p^{h_k - \xi_k} & \text{if } (s_{k-1}, s_k) = \nearrow, \\ q^{\xi_k} p^{h_k - 1 - \xi_k} & \text{if } (s_{k-1}, s_k) = \searrow. \end{cases}$$

Then (19) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_{2n}} p^{(2-31)\sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma} = \sum_{(\gamma; \xi) \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}^*} \prod_{k=1}^{2n} v((s_{k-1}, s_k), \xi_k) \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (21)$$

We prove (20) and (21) by using the Françon-Viennot's bijection  $\Psi_{FV} : \mathfrak{A}_{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{2n}$  and  $\Psi_{FV}^* : \mathfrak{A}_{2n} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{2n}^*$ , see [FV79]. For  $k \in [n]$ , define  $(31-2)_k \sigma$ ,  $(2-13)_k \sigma$ , and  $(2-31)_k \sigma$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (31-2)_k \sigma &= \# \{l \in [n-1] : l+1 < \sigma_k^{-1} \text{ and } \sigma_l > k > \sigma_{l+1}\}, \\ (2-13)_k \sigma &= \# \{l \in [n-1] : \sigma_k^{-1} < l \text{ and } \sigma_l < k < \sigma_{l+1}\}, \\ (2-31)_k \sigma &= \# \{l \in [n-1] : \sigma_k^{-1} < l \text{ and } \sigma_l > k > \sigma_{l+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_{2n+1}$ , the corresponding Dyck path diagramme  $\Psi_{FV}(\sigma) := (s_0, \dots, s_n; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  is defined as follows: let  $s_0 = (0, 0)$ , and for  $k = 1, \dots, 2n$ ,

- $(s_{k-1}, s_k)$  is  $\nearrow$  and  $\xi_k = (31-2)_k \sigma$  if  $k$  is a valley, i.e.,  $\sigma_k^{-1}$  is even,
- $(s_{k-1}, s_k)$  is  $\searrow$  and  $\xi_k = (31-2)_k \sigma$  if  $k$  is a peak, i.e.,  $\sigma_k^{-1}$  is odd.

By induction on  $k$ , we can show that the height  $h_k$  of  $(s_{k-1}, s_k)$  is equal to the sum of  $(31-2)_k \sigma$  and  $(2-13)_k \sigma$ , that is,

$$(31-2)_k \sigma + (2-13)_k \sigma = h_k.$$

Since  $(31-2)_{2n+1} \sigma = (2-13)_{2n+1} \sigma = 0$ ,

$$\prod_{k=1}^{2n} v((s_{k-1}, s_k), \xi_k) = \prod_{k=1}^{2n} p^{(2-13)_k \sigma} q^{(31-2)_k \sigma} = p^{(2-13) \sigma} q^{(31-2) \sigma}.$$

This proves (20).

For any  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{2n} \in \mathfrak{A}_{2n}$ , let  $\sigma^* = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{2n+1} \in \mathfrak{A}_{2n+1}$  with  $\sigma_{2n+1} = 2n+1$ . Then we define the bijection  $\Psi_{FV}^* : \mathfrak{A}_{2n} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{2n}^*$  by

$$\Psi_{FV}^*(\sigma) := \Psi_{FV}(\sigma^*).$$

If  $h_k$  is the height of  $(s_{k-1}, s_k)$ , since

$$(31-2)_k \sigma = (31-2)_k \sigma^* \quad \text{and} \quad (2-31)_k \sigma = \begin{cases} (2-13)_k \sigma^* & \text{if } \sigma_k^{-1} \text{ is even,} \\ (2-13)_k \sigma^* - 1 & \text{if } \sigma_k^{-1} \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

then

$$(31-2)_k \sigma + (2-31)_k \sigma = \begin{cases} h_k & \text{if } \sigma_k^{-1} \text{ is even,} \\ h_k - 1 & \text{if } \sigma_k^{-1} \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\prod_{k=1}^{2n} v((s_{k-1}, s_k), \xi_k) = \prod_{k=1}^{2n} p^{(2-31)_k \sigma} q^{(31-2)_k \sigma} = p^{(2-31) \sigma} q^{(31-2) \sigma}.$$

This proves (21). We are done.  $\square$

**Remark.** From the above continued fraction expansions it is clear that the  $(p, q)$ -Euler number  $E_n(p, q)$  is symmetric in  $p$  and  $q$ . This can also be seen combinatorially as follows: For  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , define its reverse to be  $\sigma^R = \sigma_n \cdots \sigma_1$  and its complement to be  $\bar{\sigma} = (n+1-\sigma_1) \cdots (n+1-\sigma_n)$ . Clearly, if  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_{2n}$ , then  $\bar{\sigma}^R \in \mathfrak{A}_{2n}$  and  $(31-2, 2-31)\sigma = (2-31, 31-2)\bar{\sigma}^R$ ; if  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_{2n+1}$ , then  $\sigma^R \in \mathfrak{A}_{2n+1}$  and  $(31-2, 2-13)\sigma = (2-13, 31-2)\sigma^R$ .

Letting  $p = 1$  in (14) and (15), we recover the  $q$ -analogue of Euler number  $E_n$  studied by Chebikin [Che08] and Han et al. [HRZ99]:

$$E_n(q) = E_n(1, q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_n} q^{(31-2) \sigma}, \quad (22)$$

and, by Theorem 4, the following continued fraction expansions, which were obtained by Chebikin [Che08, Theorem 9.5] and Han et al. [HRZ99, Eq. (42)], respectively.

**Corollary 5.** *We have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{2n+1}(q) t^{2n+1} = \frac{t}{1 - \frac{[1]_q [2]_q t^2}{1 - \frac{[2]_q [3]_q t^2}{1 - \frac{[3]_q [4]_q t^2}{\ddots}}}}} \quad (23)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{2n}(q)t^{2n} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{[1]_q^2 t^2}{1 - \frac{[2]_q^2 t^2}{1 - \frac{[3]_q^2 t^2}{\ddots}}}}. \quad (24)$$

We can also define another  $q$ -analogue of tangent number  $E_{2n+1}$  and secant number  $E_{2n}$  by

$$E_{2n+1}^*(q) = E_{2n+1}(q^2, q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_n} q^{(31-2)\sigma + 2(2-13)\sigma}, \quad (25)$$

and

$$E_{2n}^*(q) = E_{2n}(q^2, q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_n} q^{(31-2)\sigma + 2(2-31)\sigma}. \quad (26)$$

Since  $[n]_{q^2, q} = q^{n-1}[n]_q$ , we derive from Theorem 4 the corresponding generating functions.

**Corollary 6.** *We have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{2n+1}^*(q)t^{2n+1} = \frac{t}{1 - \frac{q[1]_q[2]_q t^2}{1 - \frac{q^3[2]_q[3]_q t^2}{1 - \frac{q^5[3]_q[4]_q t^2}{\ddots}}}}, \quad (27)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{2n}^*(q)t^{2n} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{q^0[1]_q^2 t^2}{1 - \frac{q^2[2]_q^2 t^2}{1 - \frac{q^4[3]_q^2 t^2}{\ddots}}}}. \quad (28)$$

### 3. TWO EQUIDISTRIBUTED QUINTUPLE STATISTICS

Let  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ . If  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $\sigma_i > \sigma_{i+1}$ , the entry  $\sigma_i$  (resp.  $\sigma_{i+1}$ ) is called a *descent* or *descent top* (resp. *descent bottom*) of  $\sigma$ . For all  $i \in [n]$ , the entry  $\sigma_i$  is called a *nondescent* or *nondescent top* (resp. *nondescent bottom*) of  $\sigma$ , if it is not a descent or descent top (resp. descent bottom) of  $\sigma$ . For  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , let  $\text{des } \sigma$  be the number of descents in  $\sigma$ . Then the number of nondescents in  $\sigma$  is  $\text{ndes } \sigma := n - \text{des } \sigma$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , the entry  $\sigma_i$  is called a *left-to-right maximum* of  $\sigma$  if  $\sigma_i = \max\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_i\}$ .

**Definition 7.** A nondescent  $\sigma_i$  in  $\sigma$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) is called a *foremaximum* of  $\sigma$  if  $\sigma_i$  is a left-to-right maximum in  $\sigma$ . Denote the number of foremaximum of  $\sigma$  by  $\text{fmax } \sigma$ . A permutation  $\sigma$  is called *coderangement* if  $\text{fmax } \sigma = 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{D}_n^*$  be the subset of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  of coderangements, that is,  $\mathfrak{D}_n^* = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n : \text{fmax } \sigma = 0\}$ .



For example, the foremaximums of  $4157368 \in \mathfrak{S}_8$  are 5 and 8, hence

$$\text{fmax}(4157368) = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{D}_4^* = \{2143, 3142, 3241, 4123, 4132, 4213, 4231, 4312, 4321\}.$$

Recall that for  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  the statistic MAD is defined by

$$\text{MAD } \sigma = \text{des } \sigma + (31-2) \sigma + 2(2-31) \sigma. \quad (29)$$

**Theorem 8.** *For  $n \geq 1$ , there is a bijection  $\Phi$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  such that*

$$(\text{ndes}, \text{fmax}, 31-2, 2-31, \text{MAD})\sigma = (\text{wex}, \text{fix}, \text{cros}, \text{nest}, \text{inv})\Phi(\sigma) \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n. \quad (30)$$

**Remark.** Roselle [Ros68] first studied the statistics  $(\text{suc}, \text{ndes})$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , where  $\text{suc } \sigma$  is the number of indices  $i \in [n]$  such that  $\sigma_{i+1} = \sigma_i + 1$  with  $\sigma_{n+1} = n + 1$ . (A nondescent is called a *rise* in his paper.) It is trivial that  $(\text{suc}, \text{ndes})$  and  $(\text{adj}, \text{des} + 1)$  are equidistributed on  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  by

$$(\text{suc}, \text{ndes})\sigma = (\text{adj}, \text{des} + 1)\bar{\sigma},$$

where  $\text{adj } \sigma$  is the number of indices  $i \in [n]$  such that  $\sigma_{i+1} = \sigma_i - 1$  with  $\sigma_{n+1} = 0$ . Burnstein [Bur10] has recently given a new proof of Eriksen's result [Eri10], which states that  $(\text{adj}, \text{des} + 1)$  and  $(\text{fix}, \text{wex})$  are equidistributed on  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . Since our theorem implies that  $(\text{fmax}, \text{ndes})$  and  $(\text{fix}, \text{wex})$  are equidistributed on  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ ,  $(\text{suc}, \text{ndes})$  and  $(\text{fmax}, \text{ndes})$  are also equidistributed on  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . It would be interesting to make the involution on  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  keeping these statistics.

In the literature, there are several bijections on  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  transforming ‘linear statistics’ to ‘cyclic statistics’ on  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . For example, the composition of the Françon-Viennot bijection  $\Psi_{FV} : \mathfrak{S}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_n$  in [FV79] and the inverse of the Foata-Zeilberger bijection  $\Psi_{FZ} : \mathfrak{S}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_n$  in [FZ90] transforms the number of descents to the number of excedances. A direct description of this composition was given as  $\Phi_{CSZ}$  in [CSZ97]. It turns out that the composition of the mapping  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^{-1}$  and the bijection  $\Phi_{CSZ}$  in [CSZ97] satisfies the condition (30). For the reader's convenience, we give a direct description of the mapping  $\Phi$  as that of  $\Phi_{CSZ}$  in [CSZ97]. For  $k \in [n]$ , define the *right embracing number*  $(2-31)_k \sigma$  (resp. *left embracing number*  $(31-2)_k \sigma$ ) of  $k$  in  $\sigma$  to be the number of integers  $l \in [n - 1]$  such that

$$\sigma_k^{-1} < l \text{ (resp. } l + 1 < \sigma_k^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_l > k > \sigma_{l+1}.$$

For example,  $(2-31)_4(4723516) = 2$  and  $(31-2)_3(4723516) = 1$ . An *inversion top number* (resp. *inversion bottom number*) of a letter  $i$  in words  $\pi$  is the number of occurrences of inversions of form  $(i, j)$  (resp.  $(j, i)$ ) in  $\pi$ . We now construct  $\tau = \Phi(\sigma)$  in such a way that

$$(2-31)_k \sigma = \text{nest}_k \tau \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Given a permutation  $\sigma$ , we first construct two biwords,  $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ f' \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} g \\ g' \end{pmatrix}$ , and then form the biword  $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} f & g \\ f' & g' \end{pmatrix}$  by concatenating  $f$  and  $g$ , and  $f'$  and  $g'$ , respectively. The word  $f$  is defined as the subword of descent bottoms in  $\sigma$ , ordered increasingly, and  $g$  is defined as the subword of nondescent bottoms in  $\sigma$ , also ordered increasingly. The word  $f'$  is the permutation on descent tops in  $\sigma$  such that the inversion bottom number of each letter  $a$  in  $f'$  is the right embracing number of  $a$  in  $\sigma$ . Similarly, the word  $g'$  is the permutation on nondescent tops in  $\sigma$  such that the inversion top number of each letter  $b$  in  $g'$  is the right embracing number of  $b$  in  $\sigma$ . Rearranging the columns of  $\tau'$ , so that the bottom row is in increasing order, we obtain the permutation  $\tau = \Phi(\sigma)$  as the top row of the rearranged biword.

**Example.** Let  $\sigma = 4\ 1\ 2\ 7\ 9\ 6\ 5\ 8\ 3$ , with right embracing numbers  $1, 0, 0, 2, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0$ . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} f \\ f' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 & 6 \\ 8 & 4 & 6 & 9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} g \\ g' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 1 & 2 & 7 & 5 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tau' = \begin{pmatrix} f & g \\ f' & g' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 2 & 4 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 8 & 4 & 6 & 9 & 1 & 2 & 7 & 5 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 9 & 3 & 8 & 5 & 7 & 1 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

and thus  $\Phi(\sigma) = \tau = 2\ 4\ 9\ 3\ 8\ 5\ 7\ 1\ 6$ .

*Proof of Theorem 8.* Given  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , let  $\tau = \Phi(\sigma)$ . It is easily checked that every column  $\binom{i}{j}$  in  $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ f' \end{pmatrix}$  satisfies  $i < j$  and every column  $\binom{i}{j}$  in  $\begin{pmatrix} g \\ g' \end{pmatrix}$  satisfies  $i \geq j$ . It follows that each letter  $\sigma_i$  in  $g'$  corresponds to a nondescent  $\sigma_i$  in  $\sigma$  and also a weak excedance  $\sigma_i$  in  $\tau$ , that is, for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$\sigma_i < \sigma_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1) \quad \text{or} \quad i = n \iff \sigma_i \leq \tau(\sigma_i). \quad (31)$$

Hence,  $\text{ndes } \sigma = \text{wex } \tau$ . By definition,  $(2-31)\sigma$  is the sum of the right embracing numbers in  $\sigma$  and the sum of the inversions in the words  $f'$  and  $g'$ . If a pair  $(i, j)$  is an inversion in  $f'$  (resp.  $g'$ ), then we have  $\tau(i) < \tau(j) < j < i$  (resp.  $j < i \leq \tau(i) < \tau(j)$ ) and the pair  $(i, j)$  is a nesting in  $\tau$ . Thus

$$(2-31)_k \sigma = \text{nest}_k \tau, \quad \forall k \in [n].$$

Similarly,

$$(31-2)_k \sigma = \text{cros}_k \tau, \quad \forall k \in [n].$$

Also, by (40) and (29),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MAD } \sigma &= n - \text{ndes } \sigma + (31-2)\sigma + 2(2-31)\sigma \\ &= n - \text{wex } \tau + \text{cros } \tau + 2 \text{nest } \tau = \text{inv } \tau. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if  $k$  is a foremaximum in  $\sigma$ , then  $k$  must be a nondescent top as well as a nondescent bottom, so  $k$  is in the two subwords  $g$  and  $g'$ . By definition,  $(31-2)_k \sigma = 0$ . Therefore, the column  $\binom{k}{k}$  appears in  $\begin{pmatrix} g \\ g' \end{pmatrix}$ , i.e.,  $\tau(k) = k$ . Conversely, if  $\tau(k) = k$ , then the column  $\binom{k}{k}$  appears in  $\begin{pmatrix} g \\ g' \end{pmatrix}$  and  $(31-2)_k \sigma = 0$ . It implies that  $k$  is not a foremaximum in  $\sigma$ . Hence  $\text{fmax } \sigma = \text{fix } \tau$ .  $\square$

**Example.** We illustrate  $\Phi$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  with their statistics.

| $\text{ndes } \sigma$ | $\text{fmax } \sigma$ | $(31-2)\sigma$ | $(2-31)\sigma$ | $\text{MAD } \sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\tau = \Phi(\sigma)$ | $\text{wex } \tau$ | $\text{fix } \tau$ | $\text{cros } \tau$ | $\text{nest } \tau$ | $\text{inv } \tau$ |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| 3                     | 3                     | 0              | 0              | 0                    | 123      | (1)(2)(3)             | 3                  | 3                  | 0                   | 0                   | 0                  |
| 2                     | 1                     | 0              | 0              | 1                    | 132      | (1)(23)               | 2                  | 1                  | 0                   | 0                   | 1                  |
| 2                     | 1                     | 0              | 0              | 1                    | 213      | (12)(3)               | 2                  | 1                  | 0                   | 0                   | 1                  |
| 2                     | 1                     | 0              | 1              | 3                    | 231      | (13)(2)               | 2                  | 1                  | 0                   | 1                   | 3                  |
| 2                     | 0                     | 1              | 0              | 2                    | 312      | (123)                 | 2                  | 0                  | 1                   | 0                   | 2                  |
| 1                     | 0                     | 0              | 0              | 2                    | 321      | (132)                 | 1                  | 0                  | 0                   | 0                   | 2                  |

**Remark.** Corteel [Cor05, Section 5] gave a similar bijection  $\Phi_C$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  which transforms the triple statistic  $(\text{ndes}, 31-2, 2-31)$  to  $(\text{wex}, \text{nest}, \text{cros})$ , while Steingrímsson-Williams [SW07b, Section 5] gave another one which transforms the triple statistic  $(\text{ndes}, 31-2, 2-31)$  to  $(n + 1 - \text{wex}, \text{nest}, \text{cros})$ . Other variations are given in [SS96]. The bijection  $\Phi \circ \Phi_C^{-1} : \mathfrak{S}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n$  preserves the number of weak excedances and exchanges the number of crossings and the number of nestings. A direct description of this bijection would be interesting.

## 4. COUNTING PERMUTATIONS BY THE QUINTUPLE STATISTICS

By Theorem 8 we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(x, y, p, q, s) &:= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\text{ndes } \sigma} y^{\text{fmax } \sigma} q^{(31-2) \sigma} p^{(2-31) \sigma} s^{\text{MAD } \sigma} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\text{wex } \sigma} y^{\text{fix } \sigma} q^{\text{cros } \sigma} p^{\text{nest } \sigma} s^{\text{inv } \sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Corteel [Cor05] gave the continued fraction expansion of the generating function for the triple statistic (wex, cros, nest) using Foata-Zeilberger's bijection. We show here that the same bijection can be used to compute the generating function for the quintuple statistic (wex, fix, cros, nest, inv). For  $\sigma \in S_n$ , let

$$\text{Inv } \sigma = \{(i, j) : i < j \text{ and } \sigma_j < \sigma_i\}.$$

For  $k \in [n]$ , we define four types of inversions of  $\sigma$  related to  $k$  as follows:

- $\text{Inv}_k^{(1)} \sigma = \{(i, j) \in \text{Inv } \sigma : j \leq \sigma_j \text{ and } k = j\},$
- $\text{Inv}_k^{(2)} \sigma = \{(i, j) \in \text{Inv } \sigma : \sigma_j \leq i, \sigma_i < i \text{ and } k = i\},$
- $\text{Inv}_k^{(3)} \sigma = \{(i, j) \in \text{Inv } \sigma : \sigma_j \leq i, i \leq \sigma_i \text{ and } k = i\},$
- $\text{Inv}_k^{(4)} \sigma = \{(i, j) \in \text{Inv } \sigma : i < \sigma_j < j \text{ and } k = \sigma_j\},$

and the set of inversions related to  $k$  by

$$\text{Inv}_k \sigma := \text{Inv}_k^{(1)} \sigma \cup \text{Inv}_k^{(2)} \sigma \cup \text{Inv}_k^{(3)} \sigma \cup \text{Inv}_k^{(4)} \sigma.$$

The *inversion index* on  $k$  of  $\sigma$  is defined by  $\text{inv}_k \sigma = \# \text{Inv}_k \sigma$ . Clearly, we have  $\text{inv } \sigma = \sum_{k=1}^n \text{inv}_k \sigma$ .

**Theorem 9.** *We have*

$$1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} A_n(x, y, q, p, s) t^n = \frac{1}{1 - b_0 t - \frac{a_0 c_1 t^2}{1 - b_1 t - \frac{a_1 c_2 t^2}{\ddots}}}, \quad (33)$$

where  $a_h = x s^{2h+1} [h+1]_{q,ps}$ ,  $b_h = x y p^h s^{2h} + (1 + x q) s^h [h]_{q,ps}$ , and  $c_h = [h]_{q,ps}$ .

*Proof.* A *Laguerre history* of length  $n$  is a pair  $(\gamma; \xi)$ , where  $\gamma$  is a Motzkin path of length  $n$  and  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  is a sequence such that  $\xi_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, h_k\}$  (resp.  $\{-h_k, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, h_k\}$ ,  $\{0, \dots, h_k - 1\}$ ) if the step  $(s_{k-1}, s_k)$  is  $\nearrow$  (resp.  $\longrightarrow$ ,  $\searrow$ ) at height  $h_k$ . Let  $\mathfrak{L}_n$  be the set of Laguerre histories of length  $n$ . If  $(\gamma; \xi) \in \mathfrak{L}_n$ , for each step  $(s_{k-1}, s_k)$  at height  $h_k$  and  $\xi_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ), we associate the valuation

$$v((s_{k-1}, s_k), \xi_k) = \begin{cases} x q^{\xi_k} (ps)^{h_k - \xi_k} s^{2h_k + 1} & \text{if } (s_{k-1}, s_k) = \nearrow, \\ x y (ps)^{h_k} s^{h_k} & \text{if } (s_{k-1}, s_k) = \longrightarrow \text{ and } \xi_k = 0, \\ x q^{\xi_k} (ps)^{h_k - \xi_k} s^{h_k} & \text{if } (s_{k-1}, s_k) = \longrightarrow \text{ and } \xi_k > 0, \\ q^{-\xi_k - 1} (ps)^{h_k + \xi_k} s^{h_k} & \text{if } (s_{k-1}, s_k) = \longrightarrow \text{ and } \xi_k < 0, \\ q^{\xi_k} (ps)^{h_k - 1 - \xi_k} & \text{if } (s_{k-1}, s_k) = \searrow, \end{cases}$$

and define the valuation of the Laguerre history  $(\gamma; \xi)$  by  $\prod_{k=1}^n v((s_{k-1}, s_k), \xi_k)$ . Then (33) is equivalent to

$$A_n(x, y, q, p, s) = \sum_{(\gamma; \xi) \in \mathfrak{L}_n} \prod_{k=1}^n v((s_{k-1}, s_k), \xi_k) \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (34)$$

We prove (34) by using the Foata-Zeilberger bijection  $\Psi_{FZ} : \mathfrak{S}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_n$ , see [FZ90]. For  $k \in [n]$ , introduce the *crossing index* and *nesting index* on  $k$  of  $\sigma$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cros}_k \sigma &= \# \{l : l < k \leq \sigma_l < \sigma_k \text{ or } \sigma_k < \sigma_l < k < l\}, \\ \text{nest}_k \sigma &= \# \{l : l < k \leq \sigma_k < \sigma_l \text{ or } \sigma_l < \sigma_k < k < l\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , the corresponding Laguerre history  $\Psi_{FZ}(\sigma) := (s_0, \dots, s_n; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  is defined as follows: let  $s_0 = (0, 0)$ , and for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ,

- $(s_{k-1}, s_k)$  is  $\nearrow$  and  $\xi_k = \text{cros}_k \sigma$  if  $k$  is a cyclic valley, i.e.,  $\sigma_k^{-1} > k < \sigma_k$ ,
- $(s_{k-1}, s_k)$  is  $\longrightarrow$  and  $\xi_k = 0$  if  $k$  is fixed, i.e.,  $\sigma_k = k$ ,
- $(s_{k-1}, s_k)$  is  $\longrightarrow$  and  $\xi_k = \text{cros}_k \sigma$  if  $k$  is a cyclic double ascent, i.e.,  $\sigma_k^{-1} < k < \sigma_k$ ,
- $(s_{k-1}, s_k)$  is  $\longrightarrow$  and  $\xi_k = -(\text{cros}_k \sigma + 1)$  if  $k$  is a cyclic double descent, i.e.,  $\sigma_k^{-1} > k > \sigma_k$ ,
- $(s_{k-1}, s_k)$  is  $\searrow$  and  $\xi_k = \text{cros}_k \sigma$  if  $k$  is a cyclic peak, i.e.,  $\sigma_k^{-1} < k > \sigma_k$ .

By induction on  $k$ , we can show that the height of  $(s_{k-1}, s_k)$  is equal to the number of indices  $l$  such that  $l < k \leq \sigma_l$  (resp.  $\sigma_l < k \leq l$ ). Thus, if  $h_k$  is the height of  $(s_{k-1}, s_k)$ , then

$$\text{cros}_k \sigma + \text{nest}_k \sigma = \begin{cases} h_k & \text{if } k \leq \sigma_k, \\ h_k - 1 & \text{if } \sigma_k < k. \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

By definition,

$$\text{nest}_k \sigma = \# \text{Inv}_k^{(1)} \sigma + \# \text{Inv}_k^{(2)} \sigma, \quad (36)$$

$$\# \text{Inv}_k^{(3)} \sigma = \begin{cases} h_k & \text{if } \sigma_k^{-1} \leq k \leq \sigma_k \\ h_k + 1 & \text{if } k < \sigma_k \text{ and } k < \sigma_k^{-1}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma_k < k \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

and

$$\# \text{Inv}_k^{(4)} \sigma = \begin{cases} h_k & \text{if } k < \sigma_k^{-1}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma_k^{-1} \leq k. \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

So,

$$\text{inv}_k \sigma = \begin{cases} \text{nest}_k \sigma + (2h_k + 1) & \text{if } (s_{k-1}, s_k) = \nearrow \\ \text{nest}_k \sigma + h_k & \text{if } (s_{k-1}, s_k) = \longrightarrow \\ \text{nest}_k \sigma & \text{if } (s_{k-1}, s_k) = \searrow \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=1}^n v((s_{k-1}, s_k), \xi_k) &= \prod_{k=1}^n x^{\chi(k \leq \sigma_k)} y^{\chi(k = \sigma_k)} q^{\text{cros}_k \sigma} p^{\text{nest}_k \sigma} s^{\text{inv}_k \sigma} \\ &= x^{\text{wex } \sigma} y^{\text{fix } \sigma} q^{\text{cros } \sigma} p^{\text{nest } \sigma} s^{\text{inv } \sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

We are done.  $\square$

**Remark.** Two identities (35) and (39) yield

$$\text{inv } \sigma = n - \text{wex } \sigma + \text{cros } \sigma + 2 \text{nest } \sigma. \quad (40)$$

Substituting  $p \leftarrow 1$  and  $r \leftarrow 1$  in (33), we obtain

**Corollary 10.**

$$1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\text{wex } \sigma} y^{\text{fix } \sigma} q^{\text{cros } \sigma} t^n = \frac{1}{1 - b_0 t - \frac{a_0 c_1 t^2}{1 - b_1 t - \frac{a_1 c_2 t^2}{\ddots}}}, \quad (41)$$

where  $a_h = x[h+1]_q$ ,  $b_h = xy + (1+xq)[h]_q$ , and  $c_h = [h]_q$ .

Substituting  $y \leftarrow y/x$ ,  $q \leftarrow 1$ ,  $p \leftarrow 1$ , and  $s \leftarrow q$  in (33), we obtain

**Corollary 11.**

$$1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\text{exc } \sigma} y^{\text{fix } \sigma} q^{\text{inv } \sigma} t^n = \frac{1}{1 - b_0 t - \frac{a_0 c_1 t^2}{1 - b_1 t - \frac{a_1 c_2 t^2}{\ddots}}}, \quad (42)$$

where  $a_h = xq^h[h+1]_q$ ,  $b_h = yq^{2h} + (1+x)q^h[h]_q$ , and  $c_h = q^h[h]_q$ .

## 5. THE ANALYTIC PROOF OF THEOREMS 2 AND 3

We first show how to derive Theorem 2 from (41) by applying the following two contraction formulas:

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{c_1 t}{1 - \frac{c_2 t}{\ddots}}} = \frac{1}{1 - c_1 t - \frac{c_1 c_2 t^2}{1 - (c_2 + c_3)t - \frac{c_3 c_4 t^2}{\ddots}}} \quad (43)$$

$$= 1 + \frac{c_1 t}{1 - (c_1 + c_2)t - \frac{c_2 c_3 t^2}{1 - (c_3 + c_4)t - \frac{c_4 c_5 t^2}{\ddots}}}. \quad (44)$$

Taking  $s = -1$  and  $y = 1$  in (41), then  $b_h = -q^h$  and  $a_{h-1}c_h = -[h]_q^2$ . Thus, by (43) and (44) with  $c_{2i-1} = -[i]_q$  and  $c_{2i} = [i]_q$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1)^{\text{wex } \sigma} q^{\text{cros } \sigma} t^n &= \frac{1}{1 + t + \frac{[1]_q^2 t^2}{1 + qt + \frac{[2]_q^2 t^2}{1 + q^2 t + \frac{[3]_q^2 t^2}{\ddots}}}} \\ &= 1 - \frac{t}{1 + \frac{[1]_q [2]_q t^2}{1 + \frac{[2]_q [3]_q t^2}{1 + \frac{[3]_q [4]_q t^2}{\ddots}}}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to  $1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} E_{2n+1}(q) t^{2n+1}$  by (23). So (10) is proved.

Next, taking  $s = -1/q$  and  $y = 0$  in (41), then  $b_h = 0$  and  $a_{h-1}c_h = -[h]_q^2/q$ . Thus

$$1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1/q)^{\text{exc } \sigma} q^{\text{cros } \sigma} t^n = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{[1]_q^2 t^2/q}{1 + \frac{[2]_q^2 t^2/q}{1 + \frac{[3]_q^2 t^2/q}{\ddots}}}},$$

which is equal to  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1/q)^n E_{2n}(q) t^{2n}$  by (24). This proves (11).

In the same way we can prove Theorem 3. Taking  $s = -1/q$  and  $y = 1$  in (42), then  $b_h = q^{2h} + q^{2h-1} - q^{h-1}$  and  $a_{h-1}c_h = -q^{2h-2}[h]_q^2$ . Thus, by (43) and (44) with  $c_{2i-1} = q^{i-1}[i]_q$  and  $c_{2i} = -q^{i-1}[i]_q$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1/q)^{\text{exc } \sigma} q^{\text{inv } \sigma} t^n &= \frac{1}{1 - t + \frac{[1]_q^2 t^2}{1 - (q^2 + q - 1)t + \frac{q^2 [2]_q^2 t^2}{1 - (q^4 + q^3 - q)t + \frac{q^4 [3]_q^2 t^2}{\ddots}}}} \\ &= 1 + \frac{t}{1 + \frac{q[1]_q [2]_q t^2}{1 + \frac{q^3 [2]_q [3]_q t^2}{1 + \frac{q^5 [3]_q [4]_q t^2}{\ddots}}}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to  $1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n E_{2n+1}^*(q) t^{2n+1}$  by (27). So (12) is proved.

Next, taking  $s = -1$  and  $y = 0$  in (42), then  $b_h = 0$  and  $a_{h-1}c_h = -q^{2h-1}[h]_q^2$ . Thus

$$1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_n} (-1)^{\text{exc } \sigma} q^{\text{inv } \sigma} t^n = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{q[1]_q^2 t^2}{1 + \frac{q^3[2]_q^2 t^2}{1 + \frac{q^5[3]_q^2 t^2}{\ddots}}}}.$$

which is equal to  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-q)^n E_{2n}^*(q) t^{2n}$  by (28). This proves (13).

## 6. THE COMBINATORIAL PROOF OF THEOREM 2

In view of Theorem 8, Theorem 2 is proved if we can establish the two identities, for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1)^{\text{ndes } \sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_n} q^{(31-2)\sigma} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_n^*} (-1/q)^{\text{ndes } \sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma} = \begin{cases} (-1/q)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_n} q^{(31-2)\sigma} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

Let  $\mathfrak{A}'_n$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{A}''_n$ ) be the set of alternating permutations with  $\sigma_{n-1} < \sigma_n$  (resp.  $\sigma_{n-1} > \sigma_n$ ) in  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ . By definition,

$$\mathfrak{A}'_n = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \mathfrak{A}_n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{A}''_n = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{A}_n & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \emptyset & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

By (22) and

$$\text{ndes } \sigma = \begin{cases} n+1 & \text{if } \sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_{2n+1} \\ n & \text{if } \sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_{2n} \end{cases},$$

the equations in (45) and (46) are equivalent to two identities, for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1)^{\text{ndes } \sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}'_n} (-1)^{\text{ndes } \sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma}; \quad (47)$$

and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_n^*} (-1/q)^{\text{ndes } \sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}''_n} (-1/q)^{\text{ndes } \sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma}. \quad (48)$$

We shall construct a sign-reversing involution  $\phi$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  (resp.  $\psi$  on  $\mathfrak{D}_n^*$ ), which yields (47) (resp. (48)). Letting  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , an entry  $\sigma_i$  is called a *double ascent* (resp. *double descent*) if  $\sigma_{i-1} < \sigma_i < \sigma_{i+1}$  (resp.  $\sigma_{i-1} > \sigma_i > \sigma_{i+1}$ ).

**6.1. Involution  $\phi$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ .** Let  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  with  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_{n+1} = 0$ . Let  $\sigma_m$  be the largest integer (if any) such that  $\sigma_m$  is a double ascent or double descent in  $\sigma$ .

(1) If  $\sigma_m$  is a double ascent, then define

$$\phi(\sigma) := \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{m-1} \sigma_{m+1} \dots \sigma_{k-1} \sigma_m \sigma_k \dots \sigma_n,$$

where  $k$  is a minimum index such that  $\sigma_k < \sigma_m$  and  $m < k$ . Thus

$$(31-2) \phi(\sigma) = (31-2) \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ndes } \phi(\sigma) = \text{ndes } \sigma - 1.$$

(2) If  $\sigma_m$  is a double descent, then define

$$\phi(\sigma) := \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k \sigma_m \sigma_{k+1} \dots \sigma_{m-1} \sigma_{m+1} \dots \sigma_n,$$

where  $k$  is a maximum index such that  $\sigma_k < \sigma_m$  and  $k < m$ . Hence

$$(31-2) \phi(\sigma) = (31-2) \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ndes } \phi(\sigma) = \text{ndes } \sigma + 1.$$

(3) Otherwise, there exists no double ascent neither double descent in  $\sigma$ , so  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}'_n$ .

Define  $\mathfrak{A}'_n$  to be the set of fixed points of  $\phi$ , that is,  $\phi(\sigma) := \sigma$  on  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}'_n$ .

Therefore, when the weights of  $\sigma$  is defined by  $(-1)^{\text{ndes } \sigma} q^{(31-2) \sigma}$ , the mapping  $\phi$  is a sign-reversing involution on  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  with fixed point set  $\mathfrak{A}'_n$ . Hence (47).

**6.2. Involution  $\psi$  on  $\mathfrak{D}_n^*$ .** Let  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n \in \mathfrak{D}_n^*$  with  $\sigma_0 = 0$  and  $\sigma_{n+1} = n+1$ . Let  $\sigma_m$  be the largest integer (if any) such that  $\sigma_m$  is a double ascent or double descent in  $\sigma$ .

(1) If  $\sigma_m$  is a double ascent, then define

$$\psi(\sigma) := \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k \sigma_m \sigma_{k+1} \dots \sigma_{m-1} \sigma_{m+1} \dots \sigma_n,$$

where  $k$  is a maximum index such that  $\sigma_k > \sigma_m$  and  $k < m$ . Note that  $k$  must exist because  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_n^*$  has no foremaximum. Thus

$$(31-2) \psi(\sigma) = (31-2) \sigma - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ndes } \psi(\sigma) = \text{ndes } \sigma - 1.$$

(2) If  $\sigma_m$  is a double descent, then define

$$\psi(\sigma) := \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{m-1} \sigma_{m+1} \dots \sigma_{k-1} \sigma_m \sigma_k \dots \sigma_n,$$

where  $k$  is a minimum index such that  $\sigma_k > \sigma_m$  and  $m < k$ . Note that  $k$  could be  $n+1$ . Therefore

$$(31-2) \psi(\sigma) = (31-2) \sigma + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ndes } \psi(\sigma) = \text{ndes } \sigma + 1.$$

(3) Otherwise, there exists no double ascents or double descents in  $\sigma$ , so  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}''_n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}''_n$  be the set of fixed points of  $\psi$ , that is,  $\psi(\sigma) := \sigma$  on  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}''_n$ .

Therefore, when the weights of  $\sigma$  is defined by  $(-1/q)^{\text{ndes } \sigma} q^{(31-2) \sigma}$ , the mapping  $\psi$  is a sign-reversing involution on  $\mathfrak{D}_n^*$  with fixed point set  $\mathfrak{A}''_n$ . So we have proved (48).

**Remark.** The involution  $\psi$  on  $\mathfrak{D}_n^*$  keeps track of the statistic MAD, that is,  $\text{MAD } \sigma = \text{MAD } \psi(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_n^*$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_n^*} (-1)^{\text{ndes } \sigma} q^{\text{MAD } \sigma} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}''_n} (-1)^{\text{ndes } \sigma} q^{\text{MAD } \sigma}.$$

By (32) and  $\text{des } \sigma = \text{ndes } \sigma = \frac{n}{2}$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}''_n$ , this is a combinatorial proof of (13).

The involution  $\phi$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  does not work for (12). It would be interesting to find a combinatorial proof of (12).



7. A PARITY-INDEPENDENT FORMULA FOR  $q$ -EULER NUMBERS

In 1994 Randrianarivony and Zeng [RZ94] proved the following formula for the generating function of  $E_n$ :

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} E_n t^n = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{m! t^m}{\prod_{k=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} (1 + (m - 2k + 1)^2 t^2)}. \quad (49)$$

From (49) we derive immediately a parity-independent formula for  $E_n$ :

$$E_n = \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{1 + (-1)^{n-m}}{2} \cdot \frac{m!}{4^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}} \times \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-m}{2}+k} (m - 2k + 1)^{2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}{k! (\lfloor m/2 \rfloor - k)! (m - 2k + 1)_k (\lfloor (m+1)/2 \rfloor - k + 1)_{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor - k}}, \quad (50)$$

where  $(a)_k := a(a+1) \cdots (a+k-1)$ .

A  $q$ -analogue of (49) was given in [HRZ99, Eq. (14), (15) and (17)] :

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} E_n(q) t^n = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{q^{m+1} [m]_q! t^m}{\prod_{k=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} (q^{m-2k+1} + [m - 2k + 1]_q^2 t^2)}. \quad (51)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{t^m}{\prod_{j=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} (q^{m-2j+1} + [m - 2j + 1]_q^2 t^2)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \left( \frac{\alpha_k}{q^{(m-2k+1)/2} - \imath [m - 2k + 1]_q t} + \frac{\beta_k}{q^{(m-2k+1)/2} + \imath [m - 2k + 1]_q t} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\imath^2 = -1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k &= \frac{(-\imath)^m q^{(m-2k+1)m/2} [m - 2k + 1]_q^{2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor - m}}{2q^{(m-2k+1)/2} \prod_{j \neq k} (q^{m-2j+1} [m - 2k + 1]_q^2 - q^{m-2k+1} [m - 2j + 1]_q^2)}, \\ \beta_k &= \frac{\imath^m q^{(m-2k+1)m/2} [m - 2k + 1]_q^{2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor - m}}{2q^{(m-2k+1)/2} \prod_{j \neq k} (q^{m-2j+1} [m - 2k + 1]_q^2 - q^{m-2k+1} [m - 2j + 1]_q^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain then the following parity-independent formula for the  $q$ -Euler number:

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(q) &= \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-\imath)^m \imath^n + \imath^m (-\imath)^n}{2} q^{m+1} [m]_q! \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \frac{q^{(m-2k+1)(m-n-2)/2} [m - 2k + 1]_q^{n-m+2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}}{\prod_{j \neq k} (q^{m-2j+1} [m - 2k + 1]_q^2 - q^{m-2k+1} [m - 2j + 1]_q^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting the denominator yields the following result. We omit the details.

**Theorem 12.** *We have*

$$E_n(q) = \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{1 + (-1)^{n-m}}{2} [m]_q! \\ \times \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-m}{2}+k} (1-q)^{2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} [m-2k+1]_q^{2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} q^{A_{m,k}}}{(q^2; q^2)_k (q^2; q^2)_{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor - k} (q^{2(m-2k+2)}; q^2)_k (q^{2(\lfloor (m+1)/2 \rfloor - k + 1)}; q^2)_{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor - k}},$$

where

$$A_{m,k} = k^2 + k(n-m+1) - \frac{n-m}{2} + \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor^2 - m \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

**Remark.** Clearly Theorem 12 reduces to (50) when  $q = 1$ . In [JV10] a different double-sum formula for  $E_n(q)$  was given. It would be interesting to show directly that the two formulas for  $E_n(q)$  are equal.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful to the anonymous referee for his careful reading and helpful remarks about a previous version of this paper. This work is supported by la Région Rhône-Alpes through the program “MIRA Recherche 2008”, project 08 034147 01.

#### REFERENCES

- [And79] D. André, *Développement de sec  $x$  et tan  $x$* , C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **88** (1879), 965–979.
- [Bur10] A. Burstein, *On joint distribution of some permutation statistics*, 2010, preprint.
- [Che08] D. Chebikin, *Variations on descents and inversions in permutations*, Electron. J. Combin. **15** (2008), no. 1, Research Paper 132, 34.
- [Cor05] S. Corteel, *Crossings and alignments of permutations*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **38** (2007), no. 2, 149–163.
- [CSZ97] R. J. Clarke, E. Steingrímsson, and J. Zeng, *New Euler-Mahonian statistics on permutations and words*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **18** (1997), no. 3, 237–270.
- [Eri10] N. Eriksen, *Pattern and position based permutation statistics*, 2010, preprint.
- [Eu55] L. Euler, *Institutiones calculi differentialis cum eius usu in analysi finitorum ac Doctrina serierum*, Academiae Imperialis Scientiarum Petropolitanae, St. Petersburg, chap. VII (Methodus summandi superior ulterius promota), 1755.
- [FH10] D. Foata and G.-N. Han, *The  $q$ -tangent and  $q$ -secant numbers via basic eulerian polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 2, 385–393.
- [Fla80] P. Flajolet, *Combinatorial aspects of continued fractions*, Discrete Math. **32** (1980), no. 2, 125–161.
- [FS70] D. Foata and M.-P. Schützenberger, *Théorie géométrique des polynômes eulériens*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 138, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
- [FV79] J. Françon and G. Viennot, *Permutations selon leurs pics, creux, doubles montées et double descentes, nombres d’Euler et nombres de Genocchi*, Discrete Math. **28** (1979), no. 1, 21–35.
- [FZ90] D. Foata and D. Zeilberger, *Denert’s permutation statistic is indeed Euler-Mahonian*, Stud. Appl. Math. **83** (1990), no. 1, 31–59.
- [HRZ99] G.-N. Han, A. Randrianarivony, and J. Zeng, *Un autre  $q$ -analogue des nombres d’Euler*, Sém. Lothar. Combin. **42** (1999), Art. B42e, 22 pp. (electronic), The Andrews Festschrift (Maratea, 1998).
- [JV10] M. Josuat-Vergès, *A  $q$ -enumeration of alternating permutations*, European J. Combin. (2010), no. doi:10.1016/j.ejc.2010.01.008.
- [KZ04] G. Ksavrelof and J. Zeng, *Two involutions for signed excedance numbers*, Sém. Lothar. Combin. **49** (2002/04), Art. B49e, 8 pp. (electronic).
- [Ros68] D. P. Roselle, *Permutations by number of rises and successions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 8–16.
- [RZ94] A. Randrianarivony and J. Zeng, *Sur une extension des nombres d’Euler et les records des permutations alternantes*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **68** (1994), no. 1, 86–99.

- [SS96] R. Simion and D. Stanton, *Octabasic Laguerre polynomials and permutation statistics*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **68** (1996), no. 1-2, 297–329.
- [SW07a] J. Shareshian and M. L. Wachs,  *$q$ -Eulerian polynomials: excedance number and major index*, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (2007), 33–45 (electronic).
- [SW07b] E. Steingrímsson and L. K. Williams, *Permutation tableaux and permutation patterns*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **114** (2007), no. 2, 211–234.
- [Wil05] L. K. Williams, *Enumeration of totally positive Grassmann cells*, Adv. Math. **190** (2005), no. 2, 319–342.

(Heesung Shin) UNIVERSITÉ DE LYON; UNIVERSITÉ LYON 1; INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN; UMR 5208 DU CNRS; 43, BOULEVARD DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918, F-69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* `hshin@math.univ-lyon1.fr`

*URL:* `http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/~hshin/`

(Jiang Zeng) UNIVERSITÉ DE LYON; UNIVERSITÉ LYON 1; INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN; UMR 5208 DU CNRS; 43, BOULEVARD DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918, F-69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* `zeng@math.univ-lyon1.fr`

*URL:* `http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/~zeng/`